## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Elias Ridley Wreford	Case No. 1:13-mj-00267-HWB
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Rethat the defendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – F	indings of Fact
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term o	of ten years or more is prescribed in: .*
a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable states	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but ir a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon  C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defenda	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternati	ve Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	nt has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
Alternati	ve Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	
✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at t	he detention hearing establishes by

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by 🔽 clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant led law enforcement on a high-speed chase.
- 2. Defendant may flee, having failed to appear in a state court proceeding and having been subject to a fugitive warrant.
- 3. There is a state court warrant outstanding.
- 4. Defendant waived his detention hearing.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 21, 2014	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	